

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,449		01/21/2004	Tatsuya Kunikiyo	247943US2X		
22850	7590	11/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN M	(CCLEL)	LAND	KRAMSKAYA, MARINA			
OBLON, SPI	VAK. MO	CCLELLAND, MAI	ER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			
1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDE	LV , AL	22314		2858		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/760,449		KUNIKIYO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marina Kramskaya	2858		

before the Filling of all Appeal bile!	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Marina Kramskaya	2858							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<u>-</u>							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. M The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 October 2006. A he	infin compliance with 27 CED 44.2	7 marcat ha filad coithin							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 October 2006. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause						
(a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further co									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) X They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		٠						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:	•								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-7</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .		•							
Claim(s) rejected: 7-0. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a						
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•	, , ,	•						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB(08) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD									
ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800									
I L LA MULUU I									

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation of a "means coupled to the capacitance measurement section for providing a capacitance value of the second capacitance component by calculating the outputs of said first to third current detectors," raises new issues and would require further search and consideration. Further the removal of the limitation of the "dummy capacitance having the same capacitance value as the non-target capacitance component" leads to a broad interpretation of the claim, and therefore raises new issues and would require further search and consideration.